

Examiners' Report Principal Examiner Feedback

January 2022

Pearson Edexcel International GCSE In English Language A (4EA1)

Paper 01R: Non-fiction Texts and Transactional Writing

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

January 2022
Publications Code 4EA1_01_2201_ER
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2022

Introduction

January 2022 saw the third January series of the International GCSE English Language Specification 4EA1. This examination paper is Unit 1: Non-fiction and Transactional Writing which is sat by all candidates.

The paper is organised into two parts.

Section A, worth a total of 45 marks, tests reading skills and is based on an unseen passage and a text from the International GCSE English Anthology with a total word count across the two extracts of approximately 2000 words. In this series, the unseen extract was adapted from *How do you stop a rhino?* By Adrian Phillips, in which the writer describes his experience of visiting Chitwan national Park in Nepal. The Anthology text was From *The Explorer's Daughter* by Kari Herbert, in which the writer describes her experiences of watching a hunt for narwhal. Candidates are advised to spend about 1 hour and 30 minutes on this section.

Section B, also worth a total of 45 marks, offers candidates a choice of two transactional writing tasks. A particular form will always be specified and for this series the two tasks were to write a speech expressing views on the best qualities a person can have or to write a magazine article with the title 'Taking a risk'. Candidates are advised to spend about 45 minutes on this section.

This has been yet another year with many difficulties and challenges and examiners felt that candidates entered for this series should again be commended for their commitment to their studies and that the dedicated determination of teachers to ensure their students were well-prepared should also be recognised. The paper was well received with examiners commenting on how the unseen text matched well with the Anthology text, was accessible to students of all abilities and provided ample material for the comparison question. It was clear that many candidates engaged fully with both texts and responded with interest and enthusiasm.

There was evidence that candidates had been well-prepared for the examination, with most of them attempting every question, but they should be reminded to read all the printed instructions on the examination paper very carefully and follow them precisely.

Section A

Questions 1-3 are based on the unseen extract and are all assessed for **AO1**: Read and understand a variety of texts, selecting and interpreting information, ideas and perspectives.

Question 1

This question, which tests the skills of selection and retrieval, is intended to serve as a straightforward way into the paper. There were five possible answers and the vast majority of candidates were able to select two apt words or phrases that describe what the writer can hear or feel.

The given line references for the question were 8-10 and nearly all candidates selected words and phrases from these lines; a few did give 'snort' as an answer, but this appears in line 5. It is important to remember that the given lines could come from anywhere in the passage.

A very few candidates simply copied out the whole of the given lines and could not be awarded any marks as no selection of relevant material had been made. A few candidates

offered explanations of the words/phrases selected but this is not a requirement of the question and time could be better spent on other questions.

Below is an answer that gained two marks:

Text One: How do you stop a rhino?

1	From lines 8–10, select or feel.	ct two words or p	ohrases that	describe wi	at the writ	er can near
1	"cracks".		Attention			
		e *	11.	ta p lis a		i i en
2	" my chest	vibrates"	***************************************		***************************************	

Question 2

This is a 4-mark question that requires candidates to interpret information, ideas and perspectives. For this examination they were asked to look at lines 19-31 and explain what we learn about the people and animals of Nepal's Chitwan national Park. Examiners noted that most candidates knew what was required and were able to identify the relevant information in the text. There was a good range of possible points that could be made and most candidates achieved full marks; in particular they picked up on the following points: Hemanta was very experienced; walking in the park can be dangerous; 14 villagers lost their lives after being attacked by animals and Ronaldo, the elephant, has killed 15 local people. Many candidates also made mention of the people's tolerant attitude.

Candidates need to follow the instruction 'In your own words' and again in this series examiners did feel that a few candidates were struggling to do so. There were also a few who included some analysis of language and structure, an AO2 skill that cannot here be rewarded, and whilst some were still able to make a range of different points, others spent too long exploring just one or two ideas or became side-tracked into offering their own opinions or commenting on the ethics of hunting but in so doing often failed to make enough relevant points for full marks.

Examiners reported that the most successful approach employed by candidates was to make **at least four** clear and distinct points. However, it is important to remember that the question asks candidates to 'explain' and therefore, although it is not necessary to write at length, and points can be set out separately, it is not acceptable to simply list very brief points. The response should be written in full and complete sentences that clearly show understanding and secure interpretation. A few candidates did not achieve full marks because they provided an overview of the whole extract and did not focus on the question or the given line references.

Below is a focused response that makes clear and relevant points in own words and gained full marks.

2 Look again at lines 19–31.

In your own words, explain what we learn about the people and animals of Nepal's Chitwan National Park.

	Chitwan	Nabo	onal		epal)	
leun	about	out	gurle,	how	long	he
has	been	in l	Re	business	More	importantly
we	me int	ormed	on	lle &	alety	of
Re	perk. H	aw Po	one is	truly	Safe	from
le						
5 pecif	ir denge	NG in	· ble	pale	such	ors,
amor	M6 c	J de	uth	by a	mimals.	Hody
We	Lever	3	ble	anbut	E bebi	veer
be	people	and	m	imals,	how	people
robber	bolery	t U	e a	nimal6	llen	prek
up	weapo	N6.	***************************************			

Question 3

This is the final AO1 question; it is worth 5 marks and, like Question 2, requires candidates to show their understanding of the text by selecting and interpreting ideas, information and perspectives. For this examination, they were asked to describe the encounter with the rhinoceros using lines 39-51.

In Question 3, candidates are told that they 'may support' their points 'with **brief** quotations' and many did so to good effect. Examiners reported that most candidates achieved at least 3 marks, with many achieving the full 5 marks. Points most commonly made were that the encounter happens at the end of the day, that the rhino stands and watches the men closely, that the rhino is very big, that Hemanta leads the men to try and hide behind a tree and that the rhino finally moves away after what seems like a very long time. Some candidates made general comments about how the men might have felt about the encounter stating that it was a 'scary' experience and whilst 'interpreting information' is an AO1 skill, such points must be supported by information supplied in the text, for example 'The men may have felt frightened because of the huge size of the rhino and its proximity'.

Successful candidates often worked methodically through the set section of the text identifying key points although a small minority referred to points from the paragraph following the set lines. Where candidates did not achieve the full five marks, it was sometimes because they repeated the same point more than once.

Many candidates adopted the very effective approach of making **at least five** clear points, sometimes set out separately on the page, written in full and complete sentences and supported by relevant brief quotations. Some expected long quotations with no comment to act as evidence of their own understanding but answers including overlong quotations very rarely gained full marks.

There is no need for comments on the language used in the quotations, but examiners noted, as with Question 2, that a few candidates spent time on analysis of language and structure, an AO2 requirement, for which again, they could not here be credited and which may have led to a disproportionate amount of time being spent on the question or to fewer than five rewardable points being made.

The best answers used a good balance of short quotation and some interpretation, paid attention to how many marks the question is worth and made at least five clear and discrete points.

Below is an example of a response that gained full marks.

3 From lines 39–51, describe the encounter with the rhinoceros.
You may support your points with **brief** quotations.

First we seam that the encounter with the ininoceros

Occurs as the swn goes down; the sun is fading

when we form a corner and come bec to tacewith it.

We also keam that it seems him the encounter pasted

for a long nime; an elevnity sater. Throughout

the encounter Adnah Phillips and the nor at the men

altempt to say hidden from the innexerors: Behind

the bree we wait. Additionally it is clear man terman

is the one who remains in control over what decision

to make i Hemanta inches backwards i. I and we do

the same. It's clear and they are all following

his lead. Lastly the rinhours finally leaves without any

harm occurring: 'continues across the path and who hue

Undergrowth'

Question 4

This question is on Text Two, the Anthology text, and is assessed for **AO2**: Understand and analyse how writers use linguistic and structural devices to achieve their effects. It is therefore a more challenging and discriminatory question and is worth 12 marks divided over five levels.

In this examination, candidates were asked how the writer, Kari Herbert, uses language and structure in 'The Explorer's Daughter' to create tension and suspense.

This piece contains a wide range of features of language and structure as exemplified in the mark scheme, but examiners were advised that these are just examples of possible points that could be made and instructed that they must reward any valid points that candidates make that are securely rooted in the text. There does not need to be an equal number of points on language and structure, but both should be addressed as, indeed, they were by nearly all candidates.

Examiners noted that most candidates responded very positively to the text and there was clear evidence of their understanding and engagement with both the text and the question. Many candidates spotted major features of the language of the text, such as the use of poetic description of the landscape at the start and contrasted this with the use of factual terminology later on.

Examiners commented that the majority of responses offered at the least some sound understanding of the text. At the lower levels, candidates tended to describe what happens, make general comments on the text and offer generic statements such as 'The writer uses suspension to reel in the reader to find out what happens'. Mid-level candidates tended to work through the text methodically, made a sound range of points and selected apt textual references for support, but often did not move on to analyse closely the impact or connotations of individual words and phrases or fully consider the effect of the structural features. Some candidates tended to spend too long on introductions that merely repeated the question and conclusions that simply repeated the points already made; the focus should be on making a range of relevant points, not simply reiteration. There is no requirement for any comparison with Text One in this question.

The most effective responses were able to comment on Herbert's descriptive skills and visual imagery, the build-up of anticipation and use of structural techniques e.g. the tricolon of infinitive verbs conveying skilfully the writer's conflicted feelings about the hunt. Candidates at this level engaged with the text with evident enthusiasm offering analysis of a range of features. One candidate demonstrated how to begin a response effectively presenting their thoughts before going on to explore the elements of the text to which they referred, as follows:

'In this extract from 'The Explorer's Daughter', Kari Herbert creates tension and suspense through her internal dilemma of the ethics of hunting narwhals in the Arctic. This is mainly achieved through her use of descriptive language, contrasted with factual information, as well as her use of different perspectives.'

Below [Example 1] is an example of a response achieving a mark at the top of level 3. The candidate makes a clear and well-explained point on the first page with good focus on the question. The second paragraph on structure is not as effective but the final paragraph with a return to language is very sound and supporting references are appropriate.

Example 1

4 How does the writer, Kari Herbert, use language and structure **in Text Two** to create tension and suspense?

You should support your answer with close reference to the extract, including **brief** quotations.

Hori Herbert creates tension when she states
Kari Herbert creates tension and suspense
in the text by using structure and language.
the first example of this 13" he was
miles from land in a flimsy Kayak". Herbert
uses the fort "miles" to be express the
danger be which the hunter is in, being isolated
and far with no one to save him. The
adjective "flimsy" suggests that he is in a
unstable boat. This might give the reader an
idea of how much people struggle in the Thules
even with poor equipment and being alone, the
hunter risked his life as he is in desperat
need or rescurces, this creates empathy for
the people of Thule, therefore building tension.

Herbert shows the ext desperation of the people of Thule to survive. This is evident when he states "Every hunter is on the water.". The use of the short sentence suggests to the reader that the huters reason for hunting narwhal's is simple and the only way for survival. By ending it with a Full stop, it is suggests that naruhals are the only source of vitamin c in the arctic due to the lack of biodiversity. Herbert Justifies the hunt in Thule due t for survival. This is exident when She states "Hunting is an absolute necessity in Thule . ". The use of Short Sentence suggests that it is a fact if they are to Survive in the Arctic. The noun "absolute" suggests the importance of the wormhal to the population This puts in perspective the arge to eatch a naruhal and creates suspense to see who is going to get it.

Below [Example 2] is a response that gained a mark in level 5. The candidate begins their answer in an assured and confident manner and immediately shows good understanding. This is a perceptive and focused response that analyses language and structural features; the selection of references is discriminating throughout and clarifies the points being made. Full marks were awarded.

Example 2

Remind yourself of the extract from *The Explorer's Daughter* (Text Two in the Extracts Booklet).

How does the writer, Kari Herbert, use language and structure in Text Two to create tension and suspense?

You should support your answer with close reference to the extract, including brief quotations.

(12)

for action to attack, like in a war setting. This creates tension as both parties (man and narrhal) are aware of each otherand their intentions. In addition, the use of complex dentences add to the built up suspense as it slows the stances Situation down and conveys transmility perhaps to indicate the caler before the storm. Through the use of the conjunction and in man and whole, two juxtapositional ideas are consected together indicating the equality between the two. This makes one no greater than the other, contributing to the suspense and terrior as this idea is urganillian to the reader who is used to seem heaving about a "predators is predators.

Herbert creeks tension and suspense through the was a personification in in that split second my heart leapt for both hunter and namebal. The degree of tright Herbert felt during the event and the self stop at the end of the Clarke too does not leave the ready with more importation as to what happens next creeking suspense for the ready. Also, the Syndestic list my heart unged the narrhy to dive to leave, to survive creates more suspense as the verds histed connot the second uncertainty

agests a lyi or throughou

Question 5

This question provides the only assessment in the specification of **AO3**: Explore links and connections between writers' ideas and perspectives, as well as how these are conveyed.

This question is the most demanding of those in Section A and, with 22 marks distributed between five levels, carries almost half of the total marks available for reading so it is extremely important that candidates allow sufficient time for a developed response. Examiners were pleased to note that nearly all candidates attempted the question, but careful time-management is crucial for success in this examination and candidates should factor in time to plan with care the points that they wish to make in order to ensure that they have a wide and balanced range.

Examiners recognise the challenge of the question and it was pleasing to note that nearly all candidates achieved some degree of success with one examiner noting that 'this question was generally done well and candidates really seemed to have grasped the comparative nature of the question'. There was little evidence of planning, but candidates should be advised that a plan can be very helpful because it can aid them to move towards a more exploratory approach based on key elements of similarity or difference rather than producing an explanatory, chronological approach to the texts for example, a Level 2 response might

comment that 'both texts are about humans meeting wild animals', but a Level 4 response will use this fact as a succinct launchpad for a further point, e.g. that 'the writer of Text One shows how, the local people display tolerance of animals that pose a threat to them, whereas the writer of Text Two explains her moral 'dilemma' created by the hunting of the narwhal.'

At the lower end, candidates tended to list techniques such as 'Both texts are first-person accounts' or make obvious comparisons for example 'In both texts the animals described are very large'. Often these responses became narrative, sometimes with greater emphasis on one text leading to a lack of balance. Candidates at this level were generally able to draw a few links between the writers' ideas and make some straightforward comments about language and/or structure. Some candidates copied out over-long quotations whilst a small minority used no supporting textual references; these answers tended to be more list-like and often went little further than mere identification. Examiners were pleased to note that fewer candidates in this series wasted time writing about the italicised introductions.

In the mid-range candidates tended to pick up on how both writers created a sense of danger and how both writers included facts so that readers were informed as well as entertained. These responses generally showed sound understanding and explained their points clearly.

The most successful responses focused almost immediately on comparing specific details of the extracts and looked at the writers' perspectives as well as their ideas and balanced their points, confidently interweaving thoughts on both texts with exemplification and exploration of ideas.

The most assured responses included astute analysis of language, purpose and tone. At this level responses often seemed to reflect genuine enjoyment in, and engagement with, both the texts and the task. The range of comparisons, depth of comment on both ideas and perspectives and the use of appropriate references were all discriminators.

One successful response offered the following strong final points: 'In conclusion, both writers end the text using structure strategically, however Text One continues building tension whilst Text two successfully concludes her argument with a confident, undoubtful statement. Additionally, it is also notable that both writers use the first-person narrative perspective to produce a highly emotional delivery.'

There are different ways to approach this question, but examiners noted that the most successful responses made each point a valid and appropriate comparison with supporting references from both extracts; this led to the balance required for marks within Levels 4 and 5. Feedback from examiners suggested that use of references was still variable and might be a useful area for future focus. Some candidates use references within an almost entirely narrative response and offer no real comment, others select relevant quotations but then do little more than paraphrase them rather than offering any further explanation or expansion. More successful responses were able to select pertinent words within the lines being discussed, embed them effectively within their own sentences and, if looking at language features, offer some astute analysis.

Below [Example 1] is a response that gained a mark at the top of Level 3. The opening paragraph offers a range of brief points showing links between the texts. The candidate then moves on to make more developed points of comparisons supported by appropriate textual reference. There is some clear explanation and all the Level 3 criteria are met.

Example 1

5 Compare how the writers present their ideas and perspectives about their experiences.

Support your answer with detailed examples from both texts, including **brief** quotations.

(22)

Text 2 is How do you stop a thing? writer by Adrian phillips. Ahly
text 2 is from The Explorer's Daughber writers by Kari Herbert Both
texts have an autobiographical nature. But text is an extract of a
autobiography whereas text 2 is an article. Both texts also share then
exprisence about being according visibing countries extribe that have animals.
Although text 1 describes there their encounter with these animals and
though text 1 describes there their encounter with these animals and
though text 1 describes there their encounter with these animals and
though text 1 describes there their encounter with these animals and
though text 1 describes there their encounter with these animals and
though text 1 describes there their encounter with these animals and
the house of text 2 which describes hunting narrounds by huntering
the hunters. Showing how they took have different pospertive

The writers both the discuss the description of the animals and who some key teatures about them. He Phillippes describe More of what the rhim looks like "Strangly other Eth not thems Ribs Show like hoops in a wooden barrel. This simile is used to gree the reader him is imagery as to what the rhim looks like and to emphasize out how large they are think is different to thebert as the goes into more detail about each teatures of the norwhat. The Physic "There bearing is particularly otherwood Shows us how intelligent the norwhat is and gives us finds why it is so difficult for those Numbers to Catan these narwhat.

Lost 1 and 2 both describe the setting that they are in text 2 discusses the gross what is in the llegal Chiteran Noticeal park. The line 1294 toll gross gives the reader a visual idea as to what the writer could see. The description about the gross foresheetows danger as the gross is so tall they went be able to see what is right infront of them. In comparison to took 2 which uses the netaphor the grass difference the grass for a vision idea as to what it looks like.

Both the writers dissens the feelings of 5hock in the text. Texts at In both to 1 it is used to show how seemed Phillips is. The soon short Sonborne Heart beads fill my head. Shows how frightened them fore that they can hear their own than heart as it is obtaining so fast. In contrast to text 2 which shows there nervous for someone use. The Arress my heart legat for both the hunter and norwhal so indicates that she is conscrued for both of them and it builds suspense. The difference between the shows how the difference between the shows

Text 2 and text 7 one both different in the 18th way that they structure their teaths extracts. Text 2 throughouth tops builds up and then calms down it then builds up again and then calms down but ends on a build up. Where as best 2 gradually builds then calms back down again. But Which Shaws how they both structured there texts differently to each other.

But both boths go in chronological order of works.

Below [Example 2] is an example of a response that gained a mark near the top of Level 5. The response presents a varied and comprehensive range of points looking at purpose, language, structure, tone and perspective. At times the level of analysis is high as links and connections are drawn skilfully; references are discriminating.

Example 2

Question 5 is based on both Text One and Text Two from the Extracts Booklet.

5 Compare how the writers present their ideas and perspectives about their experiences.

PEA PEAL

- smither

Support your answer with detailed examples from both texts, including **brief** quotations.

(22)

Both kets are entobrogaphical accounts about on experience in a boreign country. Both years to inform and entertain using their as experience however the uniter in ket one is personally involved in the action whilst the water in text has in from an observer's people the both one without me action.

The writer in text one uses rhedonical dechniques to describe the rhono, bouriful make For morance in "His boly on is andmordinary, symented, as though a sculptor has built him up from overlapping layer of day", the metaphore weades A potheresque mage for the endience and highlights have overaved the writer of The well the adjective "extra ordinary" emphasis the how emared the widers and how much respect he has for the anomal. The use of the semi-colon also emphasises to his response to the animal in a possitive way force. The similarly, wher in text bus uses & Metorical techniques to describe the annual weating an ethereal proces scene thowever, the under in text two describes & a narwhal who adoption is being hundred whilst the winter in text one describes a phino was is being as weethed. For insonce, "the narwhal catching the light is a Operand play of volour", the poets the description illustrates as expect mage that can only be magned due to how beauty much beauty the newhal posses. The use of "spectral" bother creates a magocal scene making the reler feel over evel by the mag Overall, the Ba both witer describe the appinals in a postage home worm tutorial & kehniques, however they dean be too different animals and the naruhal in sext two is getting hunter white not observed.

The uniter in text one uses a a to lot of rhedorical questions to build his anxiety and concern, as well as the readers' For is some, "Throw the stick and hope the opino bounds of after it like a puppy?", the och rhedorical questions are holed at the start of the lext to highlight his revolunes and and arrivers state in action. The . a malogy and reference to a "pappy" creates a finish friendly and light-hearted some which a contract the uniowh concerning and langerow siduation he is The interest with " thous" for further supposes the contrast is it weater a a cossal atmosphere. On the contrary, the uniter in text two uses a rheterical questron to reate a confident tone. for instance, she addresses the counter agreement to her judgement the though " How con you passibly eat sea!?", the question strengthers her add argument made at the end and of the kent. The used of "you", " knowing it address seadle like herself who view hundry so a mencion, in emphasies her toufalow confidence, that willing to so challenge any arguments made against her statement. Ultimately, test Bon Doots texts use the thetoical questions however it is losted in text one to domonstrate except and louts whilst it is well and to de highlight has the writers confidence and ledermostion.

The & writer is feet two ends the feet proceeding who with ectron words shudome and disalogue for the feet ends with "he halts, cronches and rays: "Tiger!" continuing who the brains and known & eventil the end of a the feet, creating a dromatic tone, ongresting that the danger is authorised the verts "halts" end "cronches" to the lights the ongoing action while the languages exclamation mark in the dialogue "Tiger!" propage one-word the chaotic situation. The short sentence also and the colon also conveys

the beathle and importance of every moment as the tension reaches the chimax the Change as the uniter in dept mo ends in a calm and collected tome as a see ways but also worns structure. The formal was Statement "Humbay à still an absolute recession in Thule" course for ends by text is a very convincing manner almost like a conclusion in an review. The Short simple sendence both conveys her confedent and defrant home. The wider wins to write the possede the reader and dange their point of view. The clear that the miles dest too The use of "absolute" how were she is that she is right & inpporting her home. It is also clear that text keep two is written from an observois peopertive as manner while text two is written from a perspective in the ection. The writers end the Structure Interically, however keyt one continues building tendion while text two mussfully worded her so agreement with a work confedent, undoubtful Meternent. Additionally, it is also notable that both writers use the frost person namative perspective to be produce a highly emotional delivery.

Section B

Candidates are required to answer just one writing task but it carries half of the total marks available for the paper and so they must ensure that they allow sufficient time to plan and organise their response.

There are two assessment objectives for writing.

AO4: Communicate effectively and imaginatively, adapting form, tone and register of writing for specific purposes and audiences. (27 marks spread over five levels)

AO5: Write clearly, using a range of vocabulary and sentence structures, with appropriate paragraphing and accurate spelling, grammar and punctuation. (18 marks spread over five levels)

Question 6

This question, asking candidates to write a speech expressing views on the best qualities that a person can have, was chosen by slightly more candidates and there were some effective and persuasive responses.

In a few instances the required form was not evident, but examiners noted that the vast majority of candidates were able to write in an appropriate format and many used features such as rhetorical questions, address to audience, rule of 3 to good effect. An awareness of the conventions of the given form helps candidates to make appropriate language choices which will lead to apt register and tone.

Candidates covered a range of ideas: many used the quotation as a starting point with which to agree or disagree but others did not focus on the idea of a love for all living creatures and talked about other admirable qualities such as kindness, patience, tolerance. At the lower and mid-levels candidates tended to use the question's bullet points to give structure to their response. One examiner noted that a few responses took a list-like approach which was not very successful as ideas lacked development and impact.

More successful responses produced thoughtful speeches, effectively using personal experience or anecdote to supplement their points and addressing their audience in an engaging manner, sometimes using humour for deliberate effect.

Below is an example of a mid-Level 4 response. The candidate opens in an engaging way and communication is successful, especially in the penultimate paragraph. Ideas might initially seem a little narrow in scope, but they are well-managed and the way in which a message is shared with the audience is effective. There is quite a wide vocabulary and punctuation has been employed thoughtfully and to good effect.

The Perfect Person When asked to do a speech on the best qualities I believe a person can have. I immeadiately began thinking. "Who is the most perfect person I mount or nave heard of?". I thought about my mum and I had asked multiple people their opinions. One being my ten year old brother's answer as "Cristiano Ronaldo". Which made me laugh but also realised that if I asked him this question again in ten years, or maybe five his answer will (noperuly) be very different. As will mine Right now, at the ripe age of Relighteen the person with the most admirable qualities is my mum. I'm sure a lot of people can answer that question with the same answer as me. The person with any mum is my perfect person.

Sophisticated, smart, sappy, and so un-funny that she is runny are a rev
ways to describe my perfect person. When I say my mum loves everyone I mean
Everyone. There isn't a person she meets that doesn't immediately tane a living
towards her. Sometimes I water her, and I water how well spoken she is and
I think about how crazy she is for preferring to call someone rather through
an email or a text. which is my preferred mode or communication. My mum
doesn't have to say "110re you" to anyone ner actions do it for ner ho I've
groun up I also noted my mum may love everyone, yet sne still has time to love
herself. self-lore is an important virtue to have, and maintain throughout line.
Not once have I neard my mum say "I look Fort" or "I can't do this. I can't
do that " states . halfers long load - sent court - to a sulfile a history
do that ". union , believe has had a great effect on her children Negative Self
tain is not tolerated.
taik is not tolerated
When writing this speech I thought about the notion that we are all accum-
When writing this speech I thought about the notion that we are all accum:
Hinen writing this speech I thought about the notion that we are all accum- ulations or everyone's admirable qualities. It is through our experiences and interac- tions, and perhaps even characters that we stead little parts of each other
Hinen writing this speech I thought about the notion that we are all accum- ulations or everyone's admirable qualities. It is through our experiences and interac- tions, and perhaps even characters that we steal little parts of each other from. I hepe to steal my mum's ability to be so year spoken, and perhaps
Hinen writing this speech I thought about the notion that we are all accum- warlons or everyone's admirable qualities. It is through our experiences and interac- tions, and perhaps even characters that we stead little parts of each other from I hope to stead my mum's ability to be so well spoken, and perhaps tomorrow i'm meet someone that might have a little ounce of perfect in

Question 7

This task instructed candidates to write a magazine article with the title 'Taking a Risk'. Most candidates displayed at least a sound sense of purpose and communicated clearly though one examiner noted that, compared to Question 6 there 'seemed to be fewer attempts to engage the audience, maybe not realising that many of the writing features they could display in a speech could also be shown in a magazine article.' Other features appropriate to an article format might be use of heading, sub-heading or occasional bullet points.

person? " Uny is it not me and nou can I change that?" Thank you for

listening ladies and gentlemen.

Responses covered a range of risks with most candidates able to explain what a 'good' risk might be, for example taking a new job and warn against risks that could be seen as dangerous or unwise such as extreme sports or trusting strangers. Candidates sometimes adopted a persona, e.g. a successful entrepreneur and used real or imagined experience to warn or encourage their intended readership.

At the lower levels, as with Question 6, there was often no real sense of organisation, with errors in sentence structure and syntax that sometimes led to a lack of clarity and coherence. Making a plan often seemed to help candidates achieve a cohesive and well-ordered response.

Again, it was noticeable that less successful responses demonstrated limited awareness of form and audience with little to indicate that the response was a magazine article.

Taking a risk
Find out if it's worth it!
Have you ever wondered what your life might be
like if you had taken that nisk? Maybe you're
Stuck between two options for a crucial decision.
Well, I'm here to tell you to take it the risk:
My Rok-
Over the course of my life I have made many
Chaices. My most integral, by fac, was setting up my
own technology business. Throughout my childhood, there
was very little encouragement to chose your dreams.
It was always "6go to the best university to get the best
job!" or "You'll never amount to anything on your awn.
Yet here I am proving them wrong! A dream is all you
need to be able to take a risk. Many will tell
you that you will fail; my parents were never supporting
of my ideas, they even chucked me out of the house at
sixteen because I chose not to be a lawyer I was
never a smooth sail however I made it in the end.
Is It Worth It?
Now, there may be times when taking risks just are not
worth it. For example being disrespectful to a teacher or

professor. No matter bow much you may distike them
that risk is not worth taking trust me by taking
it I landed myself in detention for a month. They really
have the power to make your life miserable. Yes standing
up for yourself is important however weigh up the
casts and benefits. Look at both sides; when would
you rather be?
My Top Tips-
Number one: Place yourself in both outcomes. Which would
You prefer ?
Number two: Find the support you need. This could be a foread,
Parents, Leachers or simply just yourself.
Number three: Make your choice. This can be tricky even after
boking at the advantages and disadvantages. Think about what
is best for you.
Where Risks Got me Today -
By plunging into the unknown, I was able to spread my wings
and bruly learn about myself. If I had never taken that
risk, I would not have learnt how creative and innovative lam.
If I had never taken that risk, I would not own
a multi-million dollar technology company that sells products globally
If I had never taken that risk, I would still be living
on with my parents struggiling to fufill their expectations.

To take the risk or not?
After reading this article I hope it provides clarity

On whether you should take that risk or not of you are

shill in doubt email me @ globals of twan enquires com In my

aprinion it is best to: draw big; think about you; take

chances Inally in the words of like, "Just do it"

Final comment on the writing questions:

To achieve the highest level in AO4 writing needs to be 'perceptive', 'subtle' and 'sophisticated' and there should be a clear focus on the appropriate form. For AO5, candidates should consider the ordering of their ideas, write in clear paragraphs and aim to link them effectively. There needs to be accuracy but also a 'strategic' use of an 'extensive vocabulary' and an assured and controlled use of a range of sentence structures 'to achieve particular effects'. Candidates should not avoid using an ambitious vocabulary because they fear making spelling errors but neither should they simply learn a list of words and use them in their writing with little regard for their meaning and aptness.

Those who achieved higher-level marks frequently opened their piece with an intriguing question, a powerful statement or a short sentence and proceeded to explore and develop their ideas with fluency, clarity and enthusiasm. Candidates are advised that colloqialisms such as 'gonna' and 'wanna' should really be avoided and only be employed in direct speech or for very specific and deliberate effect. They should also avoid writing solely in upper case as this does not allow them to demonstrate an awareness of the correct use of capital letters.

Candidates must ensure that they do not rush the writing task, allowing time both to plan and to proof-read as unforced errors in grammar and spelling can lead to lower marks. Examiners commented that where there was evidence of planning, this often led to a clear and effective structure and greater textual cohesion and accuracy

Concluding advice

Candidates should:

- be provided with plenty of opportunities to practise reading and responding to unseen passages under timed conditions
- be aware of the different assessment objectives to ensure that they focus their answers specifically on the different question requirements
- highlight the relevant lines for Questions 1-3 in the Extracts Booklet
- use the number of marks available for Questions 2 and 3 to suggest how many clear and discrete points they should make
- not spend time analysing language in answers to Questions 1, 2 or 3
- answer Question 2, as far as possible, in their own words and aim to offer some interpretation

- offer some interpretation of the text in Question 3 and not simply rely on quotations to make the points without comment
- underline or highlight the key words of Question 4 so that answers are appropriately focused
- consider the effects of language and structure features within the context of the given extract in Question 4 rather than offering generic explanations
- select appropriate references from the whole extract that fully support points made in answer to Question 4
- make a range of comparative points in Question 5 and link elements such as content, theme, tone, purpose, narrative voice, language; points should be balanced across both texts and supported with relevant quotations or textual references
- references should be selected carefully and some exploration of these should be attempted
- take time to make a brief plan for the higher tariff questions (5 and 6 or 7)
- give careful consideration to the given form and audience for the writing task and use these to inform register and tone
- try to use a wide vocabulary and varied sentence structures
- aim for a structured, cohesive and complete piece of writing
- allow time to proof-read their writing response in order to achieve the highest possible degree of accuracy
- read all instructions carefully
- attempt every question.