

Examiners' Report June 2019

IGCSE English Language 4EA1 01



### **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.



### Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/resultsplus">www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</a>. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

### Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2019 Publications Code 4EA1\_01\_1906\_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2019

## Introduction

This report will provide exemplification of candidates' work, together with tips and comments, for Questions 1-7 of Paper 1 of the International GCSE English Language A. This was the second summer examination of the new specification in English Language 9-1 and the entry had increased substantially from summer 2018.

The specification consists of three components:

- Paper 1: Non-fiction Texts and Transactional Writing 60% (this examination);
- Paper 2: Poetry and Prose Texts and Imaginative Writing- 40% (examination) OR
- Paper 3: Poetry and Prose Texts and Imaginative Writing- 40% (coursework).
- There is also an optional Spoken Language Endorsement (non-examination assessment).

Non-fiction Texts and Transactional Writing is assessed through an examination lasting 2 hours and 15 minutes with a total of 90 marks. The paper is organised into two sections each worth 45 marks.

#### Section A: Non-fiction

This section is worth a total of 45 marks, tests reading skills and is based on an unseen passage (Qs. 1-3) and a text from the International GCSE English Anthology (Q4) with a total word count across the two extracts of approximately 2000 words. Q5 asks candidates to compare the two texts.

In this series, the unseen extract was adapted from Rescued! by Sam Greenhill, an article originally published by The Daily Mail recounting the rescue of the explorer, Benedict Allen, who vanished in the jungle in Papua New Guinea in 2017. The Anthology text was the article Explorers or Boys Messing About? by Steven Morris in which he gives an account of the rescue of two explorers after their helicopter crashes in the Antarctic.

Candidates are advised to spend about 1 hour and 30 minutes on this section.

#### **Section B: Transactional Writing**

This section, also worth a total of 45 marks, offers candidates a choice of two transactional writing tasks. A particular form will always be specified and for this series the two tasks were to write a letter to the editor of a local newspaper in response to an article with the headline 'Young people today lack any desire for adventure' (Q6) or a section for a guide giving advice on the importance of preparation (Q7).

Candidates are advised to spend about 45 minutes on this section.

The Assessment Objectives for this paper are:

#### **Section A: Reading**

**AO1:** Read and understand a variety of texts, selecting and interpreting information, ideas and perspectives (Q1, Q2, Q3)

**AO2:** Understand and analyse how writers use linguistic and structural devices to achieve their effects (Q4)

**AO3:** Explore links and connections between writers' ideas and perspectives, as well as how these are conveyed (Q5)

### **Section B: Writing**

AO4: Communicate effectively and imaginatively, adapting form, tone and register of writing for specific purposes and audiences (Q6 or Q7)

AO5: Write clearly, using a range of vocabulary and sentence structures, with appropriate paragraphing and accurate spelling, grammar and punctuation (Q6 or Q7)

This is an untiered specification and the choice of texts and questions set are such that all candidates are able to access the paper and to show their abilities in reading and writing. The vast majority of candidates sitting the paper in this series responded very positively to all questions.

It was clear that candidates were all able to show some understanding of the ideas in the unseen text, which examiners felt 'proved engaging' to candidates and which offered a range of obvious and more subtle comparisons with the anthology text that had been studied. Candidates had been prepared well and all had, at varying levels, acquired and developed the skills of interpretation and analysis.

## **Question 1**

This guestion, which tests the skills of selection and retrieval, is intended to serve as a straightforward way into the paper and build confidence on the part of the candidates, almost all of whom were able to gain the two marks available.

The important advice for this question is to look at the line references given and to select words or phrases from the passage, not to attempt to use own words and not to spend time giving comment or explanation which cannot be rewarded here.

On the few occasions where both marks were not achieved, this was because candidates had selected material from outside the line references or had copied the lines in their entirety. There were a number of possible answers, but candidates do need to select carefully: e.g. 'no satellite phone' was acceptable but 'no satellite' on its own was not.

### Text One: Rescue from the jungle

- From lines 6 8, select two words or phrases that show why Benedict Allen was unable to get help.
- 1 no satellite phone



This response gains two marks. Two correct phrases have been carefully selected and clearly set out on the answer page.

1 no contact with the outside world

2 no satellite phone, no GPS device and no companion



This response also gains two marks but has provided more than is required by including three phrases in the space for the second point.



Any one of the three phrases selected for the second point would have been sufficient to gain the mark.

# 2 remote



Whilst the phrase and word do seem relevant to the question, they are from the first paragraph - not from the given line references - and so no marks can be awarded.



Always highlight or underline the given line references for each of questions 1, 2, and 3 in the Extracts Booklet, so you can be sure that you are using the correct part of the text for your answer.

1 "no contact with the outside world", suggests how he	
was on his oun, no one looking at to him	nin(i)
2 "no satellite phone", indicates ever if he needed hep.	
he couldn't reach it.	



This response gains two marks for 'no contact with the outside world' and 'no satellite phone'.



There is no need to offer any comment on the words or phrases selected as this can gain you no additional marks.

## Question 2

This question moves on from Question 1 by directing candidates towards a larger section of the text. Nearly all candidates achieved the full four marks for this question, which tests the skills of retrieval and interpretation and requires candidates to use their own words as far as possible.

In this examination, the question asked candidates to explain the difficulties Benedict Allen faced on his return journey using lines 43-54. There were a number of possible responses to this question and most candidates gained full, or nearly full, marks by working through the given lines and clearly explaining the range of hardships that the explorer had to deal with such as bad weather, disease and venomous creatures.

The most successful approach to this question is to make four clear and distinct points and one examiner noted that some candidates 'presented their points very efficiently with each point set out separately'. It is important to remember that the question, in this instance, asked for explanation and therefore, although it is not necessary to write at length, it is not acceptable to bullet point answers and the response must be written in full and complete sentences that clearly show understanding and secure interpretation. Supporting quotations are not required for this question. Where candidates did not achieve full marks, it was because they copied extensively from the text or used material from outside the given line references.

### 2 Look again at lines 43 - 54.

In your own words, explain the difficulties Benedict Allen faced on his return journey.

One dissiculty was that there was accordance conclude between that rikes in the area. The temperature was also a dissiculty as there were heavy shoners. Another dissiculty was that he had be nowhere to sleep so had to kuil a chelter, which was distroyed every night. A sinal dissiculty was the venemous wildlige and insects.



In this response, the candidate has very clearly used their own words and makes four valid points: conflict between the tribes; heavy showers; his shelter is destroyed and venomous wildlife.



It is perfectly possible to gain the full four marks with a concise and focused answer. There is no need to use the full page.

cold and wet due & temble rainstonus	
He was caught inbetween a cor/struggle	
of 2 tribes	
At night, his shelter fell aport and	411411111111111111111111111111111111111
he lost lot of sleep trying & fix the dam	oge
He was attacked by meet while he.	ی
slept	
He caught malaria and become infecte	d
so he could not think & propely.	



In this response, the candidate has made a number of brief valid points and set them out separately, signalling to the examiner the different difficulties referred to.

Although four valid points would have been sufficient, the candidate has included an additional one to secure the marks.



Starting a new line for each point works well as it helps both you and the examiner to identify the different points made.

*******	0v 1	his retu	rn 🕏	journ	67	Benedic	+ &
	Allen	began	+0	real	ise	that	he
		Succesion					
		made					
		مرررح		9			
		wow.			~		
		- TW					
		every.					-
		earry					
		s very		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	***************************************
		D 02.0	312				



In this response, the candidate makes three clear points: malaria; storms destroy his shelter and he was soaked. A further relevant point would have led to full marks.



Check carefully the number of points you have made. Four valid points will achieve full marks.

He went, freezing and scaked, to the home of the Hewa tribe, however than told him onwards. that he could not proceed, what Benedict did not know was that there was an agressive argument happening between the Paiela tribe and Hewa tribe. As penodict had had mararia before to know that he had through the signs. again, The rain was thurdering down and he tried to make a place steep out of pain leaves, however, to his aismay, the aggressive storm brought his cheller down, leaving Benedict the skin. He spent good few hours trying to fix the giant leaves in the wet air. But whon Benedict aid four asseep, giant adeauthy Insects would surround his steeping the most horrible bag. Electrical storms were manuform, as a giant tree would come falling down during the night, dostroying everything in the way of it



This candidate works hard to use their own words and quickly makes four clear points: he is freezing and soaked; he was advised not to proceed; there was an aggressive argument between the tribes; he is developing malaria.

These would have been sufficient for full marks but the candidate works through the whole passage and makes many more valid points, covering all of those on the mark scheme.

This is an extremely full response that does far more than is needed for full marks.



Do not spend too long on this question. Bear in mind that you can only gain four marks so use your time wisely and allow time to plan and develop your responses to the questions with more marks.

## **Question 3**

This is the final AO1 question; it is worth 5 marks and, like Question 2, requires candidates to show their understanding of the text by selecting and interpreting ideas, information and perspectives. For this examination, they were asked to describe the feelings of Benedict Allen's wife, Lenka, using lines 60-72. In Question 3, candidates are told that they 'may support' their points 'with **brief** quotations' and many did so to good effect.

Many candidates adopted the successful approach of making five clear points written in full and complete sentences and supported by relevant brief quotations. There is no need for comments on the language used in the quotations and examiners noted that a number of candidates spent time on analysis of language and structure, an AO2 requirement, for which they could not here be credited and which sometimes led to them making only two or three rewardable points.

The majority of candidates gained full or nearly full marks, but where this was not the case it was because they had made only a couple of points or failed to focus on the question and offered points that related to other parts of the text. Some candidates moved away from the question to offer their own opinions on the actions of the explorer, but this was not a requirement of the question, and therefore, meant that they could not be rewarded.

Some candidates expected long quotations to act as a substitute for their own understanding and commentary, but answers including overlong quotations rarely gained full marks. Some candidates selected relevant short quotations but expected these on their own to make the point. The best answers used a good balance of short quotation and explanation, and, paying attention to how many marks the question is worth, made five clear points. As with Question 2, setting these out separately can assist the candidate to check how many points they have made and signals to the examiner that there are five discrete points.

3 From lines 60 - 72, describe Lenka's thoughts and feelings.

You may support your points with brief quotations. husbord she serve somethina phone which hard



In this response, the candidate offers a sound interpretation of Lenka's thoughts and feelings through making five clear points that are supported effectively by brief quotations: panic; helpless; desperate; feels something was wrong; angry.

Lenka felt anxious about her husbands safety: 60 panic I'd just had this sense. active stance in helping her husband she "centaeled a TV produces. har husbands carelessness: "I was C1088. werd hopeless when thinking undersonains y nor nushords extrems: there's



This candidate has adopted an efficient approach that works effectively and saves time. Points are made with brevity, but the key feeling is underlined and so clearly signalled to the examiner and each point is supported by a brief quotation.



In terms of content, this is the minimum that would gain five marks. Make sure that you offer enough in the way of description to show a secure interpretation of the passage.

She was aboried that of that would happen to her and her three disdoern it softlen tred "How an I going to lope it Something happens to him?"

She was also agay that Alex had put himself in this somation? I was about soming a subulite shore, something that could have early saved him.



The candidate has selected two valid feelings, that Lenka is worried and that she is angry. There is some description of why she feels this way and brief supporting quotations. Two marks were awarded to this response.



For question 3, aim to make five different points; the mark schemes will show that there are always more than five possible points that would successfully answer the question.

Lenka is initially very concerned, also when she cannot communicate with her husband, shown by the quotation "I checked his emails." This quotation shows that Lenka me is concerned but also not ascard of what she might find out. Going through her husband's emails shows that the is in a loving relationship with Benedict Allen because he trusted her enough to allow her to have the password to his emails. Lerka begins to panic and gets increasingly frantic as sho realises something is wrong. This is shown by the quotation, "I began to get desperately worried." The hyperbole of "desperately worried" in this quotation shows how much she cares for him and how she is now passengetition even more than when she didn't hear from him on saturday. we very has conslicting feelings. On one hand, she is concerned and worried about where her husband is but on the other hand she is appropriate about the outcome of the situation. This is about all optimism is destroyed by the quotation, wheneveryone clse started to worry I realised he was missing. This quotation shows that Lenka wouldn't give for self a reason to believe that her husband was missing until others also panited. Her sense of hope is crushed as she prepares for the worst. There is a shift after Line 68 Lenha's feelings turns to anger, shown by the quotation, "I was cross." This quotation shows how she is going throug the stages -& grick as is her husband is alledy dead. Her angrer then changes to disbelies as she says! How dove he risk his like when he's got three kids! Mar Lenha's disbelies is shown through the use of a rhetorical question, as is she is questioning herown judgement tather than (Total for Question 3 = 5 marks) his.



This is an impressive answer, but the candidate does far more than is needed to gain full marks, including some language analysis, which is not required for AO1.

This is a very perceptive and sensitive response that shows excellent understanding and completely deserves full marks.



Remember this is still an AO1 question, so you do not need to analyse language and will not gain any additional marks for doing so.

Ensure that you use your examination time wisely and allow ample time for the questions that are worth more marks.

## **Question 4**

This question will always be on Text Two, the Anthology text, and is assessed for AO2: Understand and analyse how writers use linguistic and structural devices to achieve their effects. It is therefore a more challenging and discriminatory question and is worth 12 marks divided over 5 levels.

In this examination, candidates were asked how the writer uses language and structure in the extract Explorers or boys messing about? Either way, taxpayer gets rescue bill to show what people thought about the actions of the two explorers.

This piece contains a wide range of features of language and structure as exemplified in the mark scheme, but examiners were advised that these are just examples of possible points that could be made and instructed that they must reward any valid points that candidates make that are securely rooted in the text. There does not need to be an equal number of points on language and structure, but both should be addressed as, indeed, they were by nearly all candidates.

It was evident to examiners that most candidates had a secure knowledge of this text and could approach the question with confidence. Some candidates spent too long on an introduction that set out what they intended to do and a conclusion that summed up what they had done, neither of which contributed usefully to the acquisition of marks and time could have been spent more wisely. Some candidates used an additional answer sheet which should not be necessary if they start with an immediate focus on the use of language and structure.

Examiners commented that nearly all of the responses they saw offered at the least a clear understanding of the text and they were also pleased to note that this year there appeared to be very few who simply identified techniques or gave very generic comments about their effect.

At the lower levels, candidates described and made general comments on the text with, at times, limited focus on the question although most were able to say that the explorers were presented as 'childish'. Mid-level candidates tended to work through the article methodically, made a sound range of points and selected apt textual references for support, but often did not move on to analyse closely the impact or connotations of individual words and phrases or fully consider the effect of the structural features. The most successful responses engaged with the text with real enthusiasm, looked at the range of opinions given evaluatively and considered the issue of bias on the part of the writer. At this level, candidates were discriminating in their use of quotations, linking different parts of the text.

Some candidates tended to spend too long on unnecessary introductions and conclusions that simply repeated the points already made; the focus should be on making a range of relevant points, not simply reiteration. There is no requirement for any comparison with Text One in this question.

Text Two: Explorers or boys messing about? Either way, taxpayer gets rescue bill.

Remind yourself of the extract Explorers or boys messing about? Either way, taxpayer gets rescue bill (Text Two in the Extracts Booklet).

4 How does the writer use language and structure in Text Two to show what people thought about the actions of the two explorers?

You should support your answer with close reference to the extract, including **brief** quotations.

The miter trees function \$8 for essent when trying to move the disgust of the people offer the actions of the two explorers. ""trusty reliance"..." buy, merring about mith a helicopter" The mitar also week technical larguage to shop the explorer's stupidity the four-se essertheir chaire of helicopter" the four-sector Repinson, R44 has a single engine. The suggestion that the helicopter that helicopter that their chaire of helicopter than helicopter are engine tells the rester that their chaire of helicopter than helicopter.

(12)

The writer also haves hyperbolic when Lexibing the exerts 'Literary into the sea Ico
Niles of Antontino' the hyperbolic we of 'Literar' toke and interpreted or weekloss,
behowing or the two has po used poor Lexisions Before

The united mother suttly mother Mr Brooks, when Soying 'Mr Brooks' Breitling emergency watch, a wolding present'. This suggest The writer suggests that Mr Brooks gets into trouble regularly when we say depitting the match as 'a welding present', suggesting that comeons somethadowed his emergency.

Another may the kad writer expresses the publics seelings comes when describing the two men's post expeniences on advertures, such as 'trekted solo to true bose (amp', 'wolked boresont for three days in the Himologos' and surrived' a change by a silver book serials in the congo'



The writing is small and cramped so the response initially looks brief, but the candidate makes a range of language and structure points that show a clear understanding. Whilst there might be some doubt about whether the quotation is an example of hyperbole, the candidate is clearly explaining vocabulary choice and makes a valid point.

The initial point about punctuation would have benefited from further explanation and development e.g. what exact 'effect' the writer achieved and the final paragraph makes a rather vague point about 'the public's feelings' without commenting on what they are.

Overall, however, a sound understanding is shown and points are supported by appropriate quotations.



To achieve above level 3, remember to look closely at the impact and effect of individual words, phrases and structural features.

Try to ensure that your handwriting is clear and legible so that it is easy for the examiner to read.

Firstly, the article is structurally changing Lotteen a factual assemble of the finded exposition and the spinion of people, including the uniter houself, about the two explorers. The First section alternates between fact and spinion of the failed expedition that lit news beadlines instantly. Peoples The witer's spinion of the explorer's actions are of shown firstly through the little of the article, "Explorer's or bays messing about?" This headline and rhotorical questions establishes the witer's bias against the two explanes, and shows that his opinion of their failed expedition is that they were sliddish; fle use of the war "bays" emplosises the author's line and irong against them. This is further explaned when the winter continues to showcase his aginion via & lovied patterning of fleatre. The use of "fance" - tragedy ... drama "describes how the explorers have continuently caused problems via their notions; the word force" a again comotes a sense of childishness about the explorers, as if they is the author's spirion, per formed the expedition with a distinct lack of the farthought. furthermore, when recounting the way the man were rescued from the water, the author uses dynamic reals to make a

humarous image of the men. The use of "phished" and "smombbel" shows how the anthor again feels that the explosions were unjustified in their actions. This is ver forced by the "plushed" which showcases the explanes as insignificant and dildich, as they needed to be resured through their own failed expedition. There fore, the author firstly makes his spinion about the two explorers very clear. Next, the author suitches his focus to reinforce his orgument ria factual information and statistics. Contrastingly 6 his previous focus simply on his opinion, he reinforces his argument by wenting the nine-hour rescre that was launched, easting the tappyor " fere of thousands of powde. These slow the explaner's lack of farethought placed in their expedition; the author presents these statistics to show the consequences their actions causal, again shaving his nogether impression of their actions. As well as this, the author presents the irong of other's eximines explorers = our attempt to make their expedition seem like a good idea. New mentioned their claus to they in their "trusty beliegeter. The author again exitemises his fins against the explorers through mentioning this careestic detail; their helicopter was clearly not bustnessthy. furthermore. He author presents the opin opinion of other people in the article, firstly have of expects at helicopters.

Crienter Endres, a helicopter expert, wondered and was "surprised" the explaners used the P44 beliespter- This shows how experts were also vieuring le explaner's expeditions in a regative light. 155 is again shown by the explaner's wife, who described the man as allows messing about with a helicopter. This use of imaging of men as boys reinforces people's negative view on the explose's actions, and how the fact that people of significance such as cogots and his wife have this regative view shows the explaner's idioey Hose is a structural shift that the author employs, moring from the expeditions failed to talking about the explorer's encousful past. The polysyndeton of " he' trekhood sale to Everest ... and welled larefort ... in the Himslayors & shawcases how are according the explanar's posts were , and how successful they had been - This is contracted with the end of the article, where Mo vestay said low the explorers will "get their bottoms kicked" This ironic ending is contrasted with the explorer's succession the past; the imaging of men as longs again done one of the explorer's , and the winter's, negative view on their actions. The use of allision to coporal punishment shows a final Lumorous stones that the author bakes; he is and the article shawing his braced giving against the explanes.



This is an extremely assured and wide-ranging response that shows very perceptive understanding throughout. The candidate's opening sentence makes an astute point about structure which is then further explored. There is a developed analysis of the author's own opinion and the issue of bias.

The candidate skilfully references 'the lexical patterning of theatre' with some perceptive word-level analysis and then moves on to consider how the writer supports his view with facts, the views of experts and Mr Brooks' wife.

The selection of references is discriminating and the candidate uses literary terms precisely and accurately. This response does more than enough for full marks.

The writer immediately presents people's Houghts about the begis actions in the headline of the article. By questioning whether they are "explorers or logys", it ingers many people view the men as childline and subsequently their actions as being goodish and juvenile as well. As well as this, describing them as potentially "nessing about" again exposes their childish nature and naivety to complete such a journey as that across the Bering Stroit. The phrase "taxpayer gets rescue bill" also ingers people's strong annoyance with the boys yard their actions; by suggesting people will have to pay for their actions and mistakes, it can be seen why the general public who will have to fund their rescue would condemn their actions.

Alongside this, structure is also manipulated to emphasise people's opinions of the explorers, especially that of Brooks' wice Jo Vestey. She comments in the article that the explorers are boys messing about" and, which is used as a spring board for the headline to highlight that even one of the men's wives think their actions are immature and godish. The headline is again exhoed when it is sot stated indirectly by the Ministry of Defence that "the transpayer would pick up the bill" regarding the rescue of the explorers, and emphasising the professional's

words both at the beginning and conclusion of the passage show the full extent of their actions and also infer the people that will sugger as a consequence, who will likely be infuriated.

The use of language to convey the childish nature of the explares and to implicitly condern them displays well both the thoughts of the general public and the author himsely. The passage ends with Brooks' wice, Vestey, commenting that "they'll probably have their bottoms kicked and be sent home the long way". This closing line implies the anger present towards the explorers and their actions, whilst also displaying connotations of children through the phrase "bottoms bicked" that inver how juvenite their actions have been perceived to be. The men's actions are also suggested to have not been thought out properly and subsequently was not acknowledged to be planted well enough by people. The fact the writer of the beliefer article regers to their "Trusty beliapter" creates a hone of great sarraom and as it people believed the explorers to be ill-prepared and their gear to be urreliable, therefore conveying the eater to which people believed their actions to be solved in the first place.



This is an example of a secure level 4 response. The opening paragraph immediately explores the attitudes expressed towards the two men by focusing on the headline. A pertinent point is made about how it 'infers many people view the men as childlike and subsequently their actions as being foolish and juvenile' but there could have been some further close word-level analysis.

In the second paragraph, points on structure are developed and the candidate makes the thoughtful statement that structure is manipulated for specific effect and that Jo Vestey's comments are 'used as a springboard' for the headline.

The third paragraph moves on to look at language. References could be a little more wide-ranging, e.g. the views of experts have not been taken into consideration, but understanding of the article is very thorough.

People "describing them as "boys messing about with a helicopter", the metapher used here shows that people think that they are thildish, they just about" like kids that not knowing it is not right dialogue from line 56 to line 58 by the editor of Jane's Helicopter Markets and Systems Shows that the editor was " surprised " because it is actually impossible for the helicopter to go so far over the sea" surprised because the two explorers are actually expertenced choose to ase a still not understanding or knowing the maximum of the heltcopter.



In this brief response, the candidate does show understanding that the men are viewed as 'childish' and picks up on some language and structural features i.e. why Gunter Endres might be 'surprised' and the use of direct speech. The response does more than merely identify techniques and brief comments are offered; overall, however, it is very slight and a mark of 3 in level 2 was awarded.



Revise all the anthology texts carefully as you never know what might come up and attempt each question as every mark gained counts.

## **Question 5**

This question provides the only assessment in the specification of AO3: Explore links and connections between writers' ideas and perspectives, as well as how these are conveyed.

This guestion is the most demanding of those in Section A and, with 22 marks distributed between 5 levels, carries almost half of the total marks available for reading so it is extremely important that candidates allow time to plan carefully and then aim to make a good range of relevant points.

Examiners recognise the challenge of the question and it was pleasing to note that nearly all candidates rose to this and managed to make links at some level between the two texts which examiners felt gave them 'a wealth of points they could have written about'. It is clear that candidates are generally being well-prepared for the task, with one examiner noting that 'there was a distinct improvement in responses to this question compared to last year'. Another examiner commented: 'It was pleasing to see that almost all could write a well-structured comparative essay, rather than writing about one text then the other.'

At the lower end, candidates sometimes wrote more about one text than the other or tended to make obvious points of similarity for example 'both extracts are about explorers', 'both are newspaper reports', 'both are rescued' and 'in both texts there is a wife who helps'. Often these responses became narrative in approach or focused on very few ideas. Whilst some candidates wrote about each text separately with little comparison, most at this level were able to draw some links between the writers' ideas with some degree of success and make some straightforward comments about language and/or structure; there were very few indeed who only wrote about one text.

Examiners were pleased to read many very assured responses that included astute analysis of language and structural features; the most successful also noted the difference in perspective and tone. Where candidates had studied the idea of bias for Text Two, they were often able to bring this to bear in their analysis of Text One. Many candidates felt that the authorial viewpoint was more sympathetic in Text One with some claiming the writer admires Allen, portraying him as heroic and a victim of circumstance whereas others aligned the text more with The Guardian article and felt he was ultimately presented as foolish, selfish and ill-prepared. High-achieving responses looked at how Allen himself makes the statement -and very obvious link with Text Two- at the end of the piece 'I guess boys will always be boys, or explorers will always be explorers' and some further commented on the judgement inherent in the final single word sentence to describe how he laughs: 'Alone.'

There are different ways to approach this question, but examiners noted that the most successful responses made a wide range of appropriate, developed and thoughtful comparisons with supporting references from both extracts; this led to the balance required for marks within levels 4 and 5.

## Question 5 is based on both Text One and Text Two from the Extracts Booklet.

5 Compare how the writers present their ideas and perspectives about the events described.

Support your answer with detailed examples from both texts, including brief quotations.

(22)

Both of these texts obescribe the experience
of explorers who have needed help and
rescung. Both hove experienced explorers in
who have needed to be rescued in the post
In Text 1, as werr as Text 2, the
men are all experienced explorers in Text!
& Allen had already visited this same tribe when
he was 23 and after living with them for
SIX moths, to they " were whe a family
to him". He selt like he needed to re-voit
them to rediscover the 'lost' Yalio people" .
In Text 2, "both men are experienced adverturers"
however, their aim con't as clear as Allens.
They seem to just be "boys messing
about "cather than two men with a set
mussion. This shows that these two men
are perhaps less mature and not true
explorers.
«нин»
In \$ both texts, we read about the wifes

perspectives on the adventures. The wife on Text 2 is less worried than text 1 This is because she has received a phone call asking for help so knows they are still clive. She believes that to they were just "boys messing about" and they however it was "nothing short of a miracle". In Text 2, the wife was "desperately worried" and was questioning how she would survive willhout him. This Arus because She doesn't know wether he is dead or not as he didn't take a satellite phone, which makes her angry too. Both the wives are worred about the saftey of their husbands and do everything they can to help. In both texts it is questioned wether they are explorers or boys. At the end of Text 2, the explorer doubts that the life-threatening experience will make him istop but claims, "boys will be boys, or explorers will always be explorers." The title of Text two also questions if they are boys or explorers. By doing this it shows some people believe their adventures are not serious and just

a chance for "boys" to have fun, but others

think they're important missions.

Overall, the writers show bothe the events being life-threatening experiences but with boys enjoying themselves in both, tension s raised through-out and many different perspectues are explored, such as the explorers, their wifes and news reporters.



This response sits firmly in the middle of level 3. The candidate makes a range of points which are supported by appropriate and relevant textual references.

The candiates offers a brief introduction and then goes on to consider the idea of the explorers in both texts being experienced, the attitudes of the wives in each text and the issue of whether the men in each text are explorers or boys.

The comparisons are more than 'obvious' and, whilst there are only three that have a developed explanation, the conclusion does make passing references to other points of similarity.



To move beyond attainment within level 3, it is important to consider the perspectives of the writers of the texts.

Explorers or boys messing about' and 'Resule from the jurgle' are bothe newspaper articles pr which are their to provide info there to inform Both are written in 310 peron: The main difference 1 is that text 2 is highly opinonated but text I is not. overally the structure for bath pieces is very similar. Both are made up of short paragraphs which tell stones or annectates for the whole article. Text I is made up of 2 annexdates; Benediat Allen's survival story and his wife Lenba's discovery and her paris. Text 2 is made up of 3 annectdates of a S failed missions of the 2 explorers. The overall tone for text I changes. It starts of very formal but it becomes very hyperbolic and tension is built up as we read about Mr Allen's Story and Lenka's panci Towards the end it remains detailed but it is more light hearted and the writer makes jokes. Text 2, overall has a consistanty opinionated entical tone, some parts are funny and it

gives the piece an averall lightheated jeal.

Text / begins in a serious tone, the author includes quotes from thenka Mr Allen 'I a am sorry' for seriousness: The author includes a photo further of mr Allen to weate empathy. In the 2rd paragraph he wases the power of 3 to convey to the reader how wolated Allen was; 'no satellite phone, no GRS device, and no compainion' the use of ascyndic listing helps create a tense atmosphere. This a contrast to text 2 to which beings begins with immediate mockeny last expedition ended in a face.'

explorers sound help less, 'plucked', 'scrambled',
'scramble'. However in text 1, adjectives

to make Mir Allen sound innocent stricken',
'struck down' 'shipwreckel'. Similarly, quotes from

the wives of the exploreres are used in both

texts, but in text 2 they are used to mack

the men 'probably have their bottoms bickel and

be sent heme the long way'. But in text

1, tak lenka's quotes help create empathy as

some readen could relate to missing a lived one.

'I began to get despiratly waned', the How am I gang to

....cope'....

Both texts are newspaper articles, and their purpose is to extent inform. Buth texts include importants preuse details; 100 miles of Antarctic, about 36 miles north of Smith Island's and dropped by Helicepton at Bisonic abandoned mission station's. The vast use of information helps the reader to imagine the siduations.

The 2 annexates in Text 1 help the piece to

flow as they contain numoreus quotes from

both Benedict and Lenka Allen. When talking

about Benedict's stony the author uses aliteration

ho emphasise the stony rooms the take though tomental

'flash floods'. This emphasises the conditions ands

is pathetic falley: Benedict desembes his conditions

as 'violent' and compare the electrical stoms to

'a hammer' at night' in a simile.

Towards the end text becomes more lighthearted "thanks for not giving up an me".

He ends with a clicked joke bays will be bays and ends with 2 short sentences the laught.

Alune 's bothow that his wife is not happy.

In test 2, the tope is shill indicate

mo Vesty, I does not appear nomed and it is appead to be set routine to her "they have been checked and appear to be well". The contrast shows how different each situation is but similarly the p fext ends with a joke probably have their bottoms picked and be sent home the



There is a wide range of comparisons within this response and the candidate considers a range of features.

The answer begins with a brief introduction that sets out some of the points that the candidate goes on to explore.

It moves on to look closely at structure and tone and points out the different tones apparent in Text One - serious, tense, light-hearted- compared to the 'consistent, opinionated, critical' tone of Text Two.

The candidate also examines the use of language in each text with some apt comments on verbs and adjectives.

There is some repetiton of ideas within the response, but overall, the exploration of ideas and perspectives places this firmly in the middle of level 4.

Both 'Rescue from the jy jungle' and Explorers or boys messing about? kither way, tarpayer gets rescue bill Share some similarities with eachother, for example: the main theme in both articles is survival

I feel that in Rescue from the jungle is a much more serious poem article it has a sense of suspense and real adventure which I do believe the other article lacks however I do think that the humor and sorcasm used in Explores or boys messing about? Either way, taxpayer gets rescue bill could be added to the other arcti article.

One thing they both home in common is that both men called their wives as for help, which I think is interesting because if these grown men can get themselves into a mes see swely they they should be able to get themselves out of it?

think that Rescue from the jungle is much more informative, altailed and personally I liked this article more more as it is much more gripping alve to the amount of suspense and close calls added. I also see this being written for an older awdience possibly from 16 upwards.

really dislike the Explorers or boys? messing about? article due to it's lack luster/underwhelming story. To me it celt very rushed (as if it was over to quick) which led to it peeling much more

article being aimed at more of a



The response starts with a general and obvious comparison that 'the main theme in both articles is survival and rescue' and goes on to pick up on elements of tone i.e. that Text One is 'serious' and 'has a sense of suspense and real adventure' whilst Text Two has 'humour and sarcasm'. These points show understanding and promise, but unfortunately, the candidate does not go on to explain or develop them.

There are some further brief points of similarity and contrast and the candidate offers comment and opinion on the texts, but also makes some rather sweeping statements that are not supported by any close textual references.

There is no explanation of ideas and this remains as more of an overview and, as such, it gains a mark at the top of level 2.



The question instructs you to 'Support your answer with detailed examples from both texts, including **brief** quotations' so ensure that you provide evidence and explanation for the points that you make.

The articles one in two different newspapers.

In the Daily Mail, they were alineatly involved of in the newwe, 'nescue mission by the Phrasal's Daily Mail', and they were the series of the person 'below as' and 'we landed' to amphasize hew included they were It also makes it seem more empowering. The Guardian takes a more narrotive perspective, although attempts to make the neadly feel more included by 'taxpayer gets nescue bill', atthough this has a more negative effect.

The explorer's situations were quite different.

Benedict Allen had he satellite phone, no

GPS device & and no companion'. The two

Antarctic explorers were able to use these

things, 'called his wife in lorder on his

satellite phone' and 'distriest signeds were

being beamed... from Mr Brooks' Breitling

emergency watch' Also, the par traveled

together, 'British explorers Steve Brooks and

Quentin Smith'.

In both orticles, the wires contacted someone because of the incident However, in 'Rescue from the Jungle' the wife contacts not authorities doesn't contact authorities and wasn't told by herhusband to do so, She acted on her own instinct because of a lock of communication, Lenka contacted a TV location producer, The wife in the Guardian affice was contacted authorities and this was because her hubard had asked her to, and could I call the emergancy people?" In the Daily Mail article, the people honka contacted were based in Hong Kong, whereas for so vostey, she contacted British authenties. The wife in the Daily Mail article seems more regarice than so Vestey. She talks in one paragraph about now selfish she had felt his actions were. How done he risk his life when he's got three kids?" Whereas Mr Brooks wife was more unawane of their trip and reemed relaxed about the outcome ms vertey claimed she did not know what the pair were cup to'. Although the wives seemed to play the same role in both articles, they their neasoning and perspectives were

Both men had both been through dangerous situations before and are mentioned in poth articles (Benedict was shipunedeed) and 'Their last expedition ended in fence'. However, the Eugration makes a point to mention that on their last expedition the two explenes had to be rescured: the expleners had had to end it again not on their own account . 'they were forced to call a halt, then Both in both articles the weather conditions we said to be at their disabvantage. 'through temential rain and flash flocols' for Bonedict Allen, which affected him directly. The weather for the two explaners, rescue Lean was the problem in the Garagian affille, 'one was driven back because of poor visibility' However, the language in the Guardian article seems mone feelele. Both entides make neterionce te explaners being beys, in the quardian article it's the title and for Benedict then he says it at the end I guess bays beys, or explorers will always

orticle because throughout there seems to be a certain amount of admiration and respect toward Allen, however the writer adds at the end 'He laughs. Hone: which indicates a more pagative feeling about his actions whereas in The awardian's afficle, there is a negative feeling about explanors being pays throughout

In the Daily Mail amicle, they include generions from Allen, wheneas they den't include any for smith or Brocks. In all of his gustations, apoint from the tinal one, he seems grateful, "I can't thank the Mail enough'. Wheneas the quotations in The goodian's ounde the gestations degrade the men and their actions. The exprorts are used to point & emphasizodardo about their actions. "I'm surprised they used the P44. A Both articles use their sentence structure, so the technique of heuring a sentence en ex singu, line, but for different neasons. The Guardian's airide tises writes, Despite

their experience, its not the first time they re hit the headlines for the wrong reasons."

Because its on a line by itself, it drows attention to the sentence and entisizes nearly their choices. The Daily [hail on tide uses their technique to brild tension. 'Meanwhile, linke started to panic'. This nearly in a more emperhatic peel towards the people in the stony, not as entisizing as the two explanes.



The top level response begins by looking at the different perspectives of the two newspapers that published the articles, which is an interesting and insightful point of comparison.

The response develops in strength as it moves onward and is always thorough and at some times perceptive e.g. the comments on the end of Text One: 'the writer adds at the end 'He laughs. Alone.' which indicates a more negative feeling about his actions'.

A fairly comprehensive range of points is covered and there is analysis of tone, language and structure.

This moves quite securely into level 5.

#### **Question 6**

Candidates are required to answer just one writing task, but it carries half of the total marks available for the paper and so they must ensure that they allow sufficient time to plan and organise their response.

There are two assessment objectives for writing.

- AO4: Communicate effectively and imaginatively, adapting form, tone and register of writing for specific purposes and audiences. (27 marks spread over 5 levels)
- AO5: Write clearly, using a range of vocabulary and sentence structures, with appropriate paragraphing and accurate spelling, grammar and punctuation. (18 marks spread over 5 levels)

Question 6 proved to be the more popular writing task with two thirds of the candidates opting to answer it. On the whole, it was answered very successfully, producing some engaging writing and one examiner stated that it was pleasing to see 'some spectacularly mature, genuinely witty, erudite letters that demonstrate a strong awareness of the wider world as well as the teenage psyche'.

Some candidates did not appear to have read the whole question and assumed that they were being asked to write the article itself rather than a letter in response to an article and, as such, did not show a complete understanding of purpose. Many, however wrote impassioned pieces often fiercely combatting the statement and drawing on their own experiences of activites such as The Duke of Edinburgh Award Scheme, travel to far-flung destinations, voluntary work overseas. Some acknowledged the truth of the statement but directed the blame for this towards schools, pushy or fearful parents and the easy availability of technology.

Many interesting responses explored the nature of adventure and how this might have altered over time. Some argued quite convincingly that technology could be seen as expanding the realms of adventure and others argue that the adventure for young people lay in preserving the future of the planet and some made pertinent references to the 'Youth 4 Climate Change' marches.

More pedestrian responses tended to take quite a narrow view, often repeating the rather simplistic idea that youngsters should put their phones down and go outside, whilst the best responses considered a range of viewponts, often including references to experts, statistics, facts and surveys in a convincing manner. One examiner was pleased to see candidates 'adding verisimilitude to their pieces by inventing imaginative details from the 'original' article in order to respond to them in their letter'.

Most candidates used an appropriate salutation and valediction; there is no requirement for the use of sender and recipient addresses.

Technical accuracy was of variable quality inevitably on an untiered paper with missing punctuation something that a number of examiners noticed and which could impede clarity of expression. One examiner felt that 'there was some excellent use of dashes in parenthesis'. Most responses included a range of sentence structures, and signposting the line of argument with discourse markers was often key for a successful response.

# Dear Sir/Maddame,

I am writing to you today concerning the article entitled 'Young people today lack any desire for adventure ' because I think that your opinion is somewhat haive and I would like to express to you my opinion on this topic.

As a young person myself I feet that there is a desire roowing maide young people today for adventure but a lack of opportunity or access to such activities. As I write this letter I know I am speaking for meny people of my generation who need some exitement.

Every day we are cooped up in a classroom learning maths, science, english.

Every day we are fed in formation like machines, sat at small desks just woulding for the time to go by so we can go outdoors. Can't you see that we want more?

I believe what is given the opportunity, more young People would be able to fulfil their desire for being adventurous. To adults we are seen as a generation who states at screens and does not communicate with one another, but we do not live in the same times that you did . Pavents are becoming more strict due to more danger in our local areas which soc should have streets flooded with children. It is recorded that in the last eve year comes in small towns and villages has moreased by 67%. This is the problem, it's not the Children who are. Not only this but we have got no cree time. As Children we are sent to school! we are sent home with more work, and we are given exams. How we young people expected to howe the time to explore and be adventurous when we are constantly weighed down by work?

lam awave short shore ore places to go such as harlow out door centre or go Ape which provide adventurous activities for young people but these places may not be affordable for many families and although equipment may be expected

to have to pay for their children to have an adventurous childhood? No.

You may think that I am a dramatic young child and I hold an isignificance to you. but we as young people demand more opportunities car adventure; you will not truk about us any more

I took a survey twoughout my school accross four different year groups and one hunared Students took part. In the survey I asked people what types of activities they took part in currently and what they would like to take part m, had they more time and less work. An outstanding seveney of the one hundred people wanted to do a new activity of would be cana considered adventurous such as hiking or chimbing. This shows what a large majority of young people today would like here adventure in other life, and other nave a great desire forit.

The purpose of this letter was not to tell you that you are wrong; but rather to inform you that many young people are not in agreement

with what you wrote.

Thope to hear a reply from you soon, thank you for all of the time taken to read this out of your probably busy, important and hectic

# Yours sincerely



This is a confident and assured response. The candidate opens with a strong assertion and goes on to express their thoughts and ideas quite vehemently and certainly successfully. Ideas are well-reasoned and developed.

Whilst this does not have the qualities of perception or subtlety required for level 5 AO4, it is firmly within level 4.

The vocabulary is wide, but not extensive, a range of punctuation is used deliberately and this is structured cohesively with a good range of effective paragraph openers. The mark for AO5 is at the top of level 4.

Dear Sir/Madam.
-----------------

Upon reading your article, from a teenager point of view, I must say that I absorbely

Firstly, I would like to begin this letter by saying that too many people are too focused on their phones that they seem to have forgotten that nature exists. Nature is one of the most beautiful things to exist, yet young people lack the essence to go outside and explore. I love going for marks, I love to Explore but most of on- I love to do different things which require me to leave the house.

One thing that vesets me is how lary resudders der mueu dramiód no mueu i mas younger, whenever an adult told me we were going to the park - I got so excited Many kids aid, and now they au moan and groon what over the thought of leaving their house.

It used to be fun hanging out with your
friends, pecause me mand au 90 out
and play- whilst being imaginative. Now?
Now we are sie watching a movie, or sie
on our phones without achknowledging one
another:

There are so many things we can do in order to change that I am part of Scarts Group and we have a meeting every week for two nours. We do different activities every week, most of them being outdoors. Some activities include treasurehones, and Cycling. In my opinion, I feel as if young people should sign up as this is an opportunity for them to be adventurous.

Another thing teenagers / young people can do is go travelling. By Simply just hopping taxes them can lead to them becoming adventurous, within reason and with parent /goardian Demussion.

enjoy being adventurous and I feel like Of their book. I believe that au people should try something adventurous frequently because who knows-It could probably change their lives Studies snow that more young people are suffering from obesity due to Alack of excersize. In order to help change this we should herp promote walks / runs / cycling adventure and discover areas / places they've never been. und like to state Yours Fauenfully,



The candidate communicates very clearly and presents a series of ideas and opinions that show a clear sense of purpose. There is fully appropriate form, tone and register used throughout.

The candidate opts to agree with the statement and only briefly touches on a different point of view: 'To be fair, some young people do enjoy being adventurous', but this is not explored.

The letter is quite competently organised and, whilst not sufficiently ambitious in either the scope of its idea or vocabulary and punctuation to move into level 4, it does meet all level 3 criteria for both AOs.

This is a good example of an extremely secure level 3 which gains top marks within the level for each AO.



It can be a good idea to consider alternative points of view within your writing.

Dear editor,
I believe it is ungair to state that the youth of today don't
possess a longing or craving for adventure. Although people say
this, call us the 'snawclake' generation, claim & that all of us have
been taken hostage by our phones, is it truly fair to stereotype all
young people and present them as people who possess hold no desire
for warting to experience reward exeiting endeavours?
Just because of a person's young age, does this agged their desire to
travel to countries beyond theirs and experience the wealth abundance of
diggerent cultures in the world? To go outside into nature and become
enraphwed in the small things; the distening of the sun making all the
array of colours splasted togetter. The sun making all the organisms
glisten. Hinking about how every little creature has
I can acknowledge why people may buy into the idea promoted in
your article. With social media being so prevalent amongst young
people and reminiscence by the etterty in which they experienced adverture
and not inhibited by technology in the 'good old days', one can see how
your theory may be believed by the adult world.
But not by us.
Although the term 'adventure' may be subjective, I would classify it as

a journey into the new or the unknown. This could be trying new good or dothes, which may be classed as 'edgy' or 'adverturous'. It could be exploring places within your own country, or further exield in realms, you have always longed and ached to visit. However one classicies an adventure, it is always open to people to try regardless of their age.

Readle may be inhibited by money, yes. I, for example, long to visit South Korea; to explore the glistening lights of Secul. He city that rever sleeps, or the serenity of the breathlaking Jeju Island. But it is unlikely I will be able to afford that any time soon.

However, even if I don't get to South Kerea in the rext 10 years, I still have that desire to explore new things within me. My hyperoctive black labrador and I always adventure to new gields and parks and woods. Yes, it's not an extreme adventure, but is a venture into the unknown monetheless.

My adventurous nature regarding trying new goods has served me incredibly well. Without it, I would not have been introduced to the soct hewen-like substance that is topul, or Rimchi, the succelent Korean spicy red cabbage (it's better than it sounds).

Don't even get me started on my of 'advorturous' gashion sense.

One may argue Hese are colores are small and do not speak for young people today on the whole. Despite this, I do not think it is gair you

classify us all into an a group of people who have no desire to
experience new Hings or places.
»,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Yes, we may be seen as slobs.
Yes, we may be seen to go on our phones a lot.
Yes, we are indeed called 'snauglakes'.
But this does not aggest our human desires to travel, explore or try
new Hings. If anything, these characteristics enhance Hern; we crown to
see the places we view online in real like and to travel to places where our
right to be snaudakes is not entitled.
So, yes, you may reger to us as lacking any desire gor advanture, but
please ocknowledge my points and consider the stereotype you are
placing us under.
Yours sincerely,



There is a very clear sense of 'voice' in this letter which is written with great fluency and some flair. It is very well-crafted and the move from the heights of ambition for adventure in South Korea to the more everyday adventures of walks with the dog to new places is very successful.

The challenges to perceptions of young people are subtle and persuasive and place this within level 5 for AO4. The single sentence paragraphs, which you might more usually expect to find within a speech, are used skilfully within this letter to add emphasis to the points made. There is an extensive vocabulary ('craving', 'prevalent', 'inhibited') and, whilst there might be a greater range of punctuation, it is used accurately and with precision.



Consider how features such as short sentences and repetition can be used to good effect in your writing.

#### **Question 7**

Whilst not as many candidates chose this question, examiners felt that it was also very accessible and that most candidates were able to focus well on the task with effective strategies. Inevitably, many responses focused on the importance of exam preparation e.g. taking mocks seriously, writing useful notes, creating a revision timetable etc. Many picked up on the Scout motto of 'Be prepared' and there were also many paraphrasings of Benjamin Franklin's 'By failing to prepare, you are preparing to fail'. There was a wide range of examples that candidates cited from the importance of music practise to training for sporting events to preparing for a date or a new job.

At the lower level, there was a tendency to repeat ideas and a lack of persuasive examples, and in the middle ranges there was sound if rather generalised advice, but one examiner said that many of the responses that they had seen were 'insightful, interesting, passionate and honest' and another said that the best responses were able to 'create a very convincing authoritative and advisory tone'. These candidates were often able to express complex ideas with clarity in a manner that connected strongly with the intended reader.

The guide format lent itself to clear paragraphing and many candidates made efficient and appropriate use of sub-headings and occasional bullet-pointing.

Chosen question number:	Question 6	Question 7	
1 The Key	to Success	in anything is	loeing prepared
The Key to	5		
Being prepere	l is really in	rportant like is	gov have a
Job intervie	w your not	going to walk	in knowing
nothing ab	out the col	mpang or what	iteven
Stands 50	r you have	e to take y	our time do
your reason		, get to Know	
the pers	on Who is	interveiwing o	Jol



This is a very brief response. There is a capital letter at the start, a comma and no full stop. There is some correct spelling.

There is a clear idea expressed but no development, so communication remains at a basic level. Using best-fit, the response meets the criteria for top mark in level 1 for both AOs.



Managing your time carefully is very important. Ensure that you allow sufficient time to answer the writing question which is worth half of your total marks for this paper.

F	1	A	1	\	

agrae > Point / video games / TV / phones
agree -> C
Being prepared -> can refer
to anothing / writing an essay / preparing
for a speech / mental preparation/
attitude
preparation / confidence / mindset /= ser
regative = no success.
,
Being prepared propelles your future.
You can be prepared in anything you
do, whether its writing an essay
or preparing for a speech, preparing
gives you on advantage.
In my childhood , can vividally

remember	recieuring	a pie	ce of	
paper in	which	1 had	to read	
out in fre				<b>A</b>
school. 1				)
it. 111				*************
	t l w			9
me to st	utter and	put r	to emotion	1
	Lone			
up to me	and	laughing.	Bunga	æda
	11)	3.000.00	######################################	4111.6
Be piepon	ed.		***************************************	1944444411155555888888884444
		BFB114444444111111111111111111111111111		7889884944441117bbhhhhhhnh
Being pre	pared co	on fill	you with	h
confidance	,			>>b###################################
Confidence	is an	attitude	, , a	-
mindset.	This ca	n relate	to a	>>>P##################################
rugby n	rateh, fo	r pe exa	mple If	***************************************
	go in			++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
mindset	, most	likely	at the	
end of	the	match	there	
will be	defeat.	But d	ont forget	
you mus	st prepar	e for	defeat	
angway				Phhabassassassassassassassassassassassassas
demotivate	and d	emovolise.	if you	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
have co	infidance i	n yourself	f and	
one pre	uponed to	Stand	back	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

<u>up</u>	and	try	again		with	resil	liance,
μου	will	be	SUCCE	essfal	- P	repore	ation
is	the	roots	and	the	buildi	10	docks
,	succes					9	
***************************************							
Life	is	a k	sumpy	roac	λ.	%υ	
		ike					
							100p-to-
1000			-				
upside	down	. IF	You	are	pre	pored	to
Face	Non	feor	s a	nd	battle	w	äth
		ugh					
Without	· (eH	ing it	put	- u	ou do	WM.	•
Y00 1		-	1	<u> </u>	PTT-444-4 1	14444	
halldassassas (++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	}}}	>>b>- <b>374</b> 44443>>>>b	<del>73</del> 8844444444	***************************************		1444144444444	1984484444444 pt 255222222222222222222222222222
Beina	919	poned,	produ	lce&	knoc	k -	00 -
		P884					
		C					
							1 know
		Sov					
SPY	12	falling	α σα.	201			
Ironica	[lu		0 to 0	me d	for t	hic	guide.
lakis	17		sful?				
	-00			-		1	***************************************
ı.		0	think	*	, ,		- a d
Now	much	Ho.	u th	UNIC		prepo	real,



This piece improves as the candidate moves through their ideas, but there is a clear introduction followed by a personal anecdote and then the example of a rugby match and consequences of a lack of preparation.

The ending of the response has an effectively-placed appeal to the reader and it is quite well-organised overall. There is a wide range of vocabulary but also a number of spelling errors.

The response is moving towards level 4 in both AOs but does not quite do enough and remains at the top of level 3.



Taking a few moments to produce a brief plan can help you to organise your thoughts and ideas.

of your guide Question 7 Chosen question number: Question 6 I should begin this section by making confession; I was never organised nor For allowstemoverytaing anything. p I was always messy and t it was a & brilliant idea to leave ing last minute. However, one day ing changed. I was watching a humming of employees at the company 'Apple' promoting their latest software. I was instantly mes morised by the ence and intelligence of these. That is when I decided I r Some might say its What is 'preperation'? roady equiped for something, more and yes, it is ... however there is more to it being organised, "prepared" is about ingroup association confident and uing a passion. You will never be properly prepared unless you have a specific desire to be so. Lucky for you, this quide was everything you need to know

about preparation and how it will bring you success

f you want to be successful you have to be prepared. Startistics people who are well appropried appropried paleries and have composince times Statistics show that confidantly prepared for an interview our to thomesuppresed 5 times as likely to be hired than somethe lacking the confidence. In order to become prepared you have to ensure that you are hard-working, peristant and confidant.

Experts from The National Law firm of London Say that: "the success comes from preperation. Imagine you are a lawyer and an inocent client who you are If you have done research research about the case, if you have organised, all your arguments pount by point according you have practiced and studied your arguments inside and out - you will be able to walk into that courtroom with nothing, but the

confidence of knowing you are prepared;

appare you will win the case. However, is

you are protecting it in the hardwork it takes to become prepared you will wo thrown behind bars and wocked up. It's your choice; do you t your dient to rott in jail? Let's bring this back to you; You are your own client and you get to chose ur future. It is a known fact, that people who are more successful in their worklige, tend to be before happier with their lige and themselves as individuals. The main reason being prepared will bring you success is because it makes you feel in vinsible To be prepared means that you are mentally and physically prepared for any thing being prepared means you know what's you know whats in store for you and you know exactly now to handle

Do you know what it feels luke to be truety unprepared in a serious, important situation? If you have then you must understand the unbearable, and utter embarrasment that falls onto you, weighing you down. If you have ever felt inke that, then you know you never want to again; and Fris is why this guide will help you, Agrigon is you realty stick to

Now, if you - like a younger me - are constantly un prepared, disorganised and lack in confidence, I urge you to continue reading this guide. This guide could be that pedagath intelligant inspiring designer at 'Apple' was for me.

Do your reseach, put in the effort and make sure you truly want to it, and I promise you that your preperation will provide you with the key to success.



This is an extremely successful response with a very secure realisation of purpose and form, tone and register are all very effective with address to the reader in a friendly manner (Now, if you-like a younger me-') helping to convey the message.

Ideas are managed cohesively and, whilst they may not be sufficiently complex or subtle for level 5, the criteria for AO4 level 4 are met entirely. Punctuation is positioned strategically, there is a very wide vocabulary but there are a few slips in spelling. For AO5 this also reaches top of level 4.

### **Paper Summary**

Based on their performance in this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

- Be aware of the different assessment objectives to ensure that you focus your answers specifically on the varying question requirements.
- Answer Question 2 in your own words as far as possible; do not copy out large chunks of the text.
- Use quotations to support, rather than make, points for Question 3 and ensure that you offer some explanation of the points in your own words. Do not spend time analysing language quoted in Question 3.
- Do not waste time on a general introduction or conclusion in Question 4- every sentence should be earning marks. Consider the effects of language and structure features within the context of the given extract in this question. Ensure that you focus on the question and do not just provide a general overview.
- Make a range of comparative points in Question 5: link similar elements such as content, theme, tone, purpose, narrative voice, language and support points with relevant quotations or textual references.
- Take time to make a brief plan for the higher tariff questions (5 and 6 or 7).
- Consider the given form and audience for the writing task and use these to inform register and tone. Try to use a wide vocabulary and varied sentence structures. Aim for a structured, cohesive and complete piece of writing. Allow time to proof-read in order to achieve the highest possible degree of accuracy.
- Read all instructions carefully and attempt every question.

## **Grade Boundaries**

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx