

Moderators' Report/
Principal Moderator Feedback

Summer 2016

Pearson Edexcel GCE
in Physics (6PH03)
Exploring Physics

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2016

Publications Code 6PH03_1A_1606_ER*

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2016

General Comments

June 2016 was a legacy entry and this report necessarily repeats comments made in previous years.

There are two routes of assessment for this module: internal moderation (1A) and external marking (1B). The same assessment criteria are used for each route, and unless otherwise stated the comments below apply to both routes.

Many candidates showed that they had gained useful skills from their course and produced some excellent work, particularly as many were resit candidates.

The assessment criteria are published and should have been made available to all candidates: they should be read in conjunction with this report.

For the 1A route, annotation using the marking codes is required. The moderators were pleased to receive helpful notes, including details of internal moderation. For both routes any briefing notes given to candidates should have been sent with the scripts. Without this information it was difficult to moderate or mark some criteria in the visit, planning and analysis sections.

The report on the visit or case study

This section is the only part where word processing is allowed: not all centres enforced this.

Whether a case study or a visit was carried out, all references should have been acknowledged. Some centres continued to miss the requirement in a case study for the use of three different **types** of sources rather than just three different web-based sources. More able candidates divided their reference list into types of sources which was helpful. The date on which a website was consulted was required as well as complete details of books used.

Some 1A centres continued to credit R2 for general subheadings rather than just in the summary as required.

Experimental Skills

Planning

The planning should have been written before the experiment was carried out and no further planning marks can be given for planning points made in any subsequent work. For P3 and 5, many candidates did not refer to the size of expected quantities and relate this to the size of the scale division on the instrument to be used, preventing the award of these criteria.

When commenting on whether repeat readings were necessary (P9), candidates should have supported their comment with some reasoning. "I will draw a graph" without further qualification was not sufficient for the award of P11: full details of all data treatment were expected for this criterion.

In **Implementation and Measurement** the majority of candidates scored highly.

For M1 students were expected to give consistent and realistic numbers of significant figures in their measured values. Some candidates stated in planning that they would make measurements with a metre rule because it had a precision of ± 0.5 mm and then recorded results only to 0.1 m: they could not then be awarded M1. They were also expected to record any repeated values for measurements such as the radius of a wire. Most candidates used units correctly, but not always in the conclusion. At least six sets of measurements were expected.

Analysis

A surprising number of candidates continued to find it difficult to draw a line of best fit, forcing it through favoured points rather than drawing it to represent the overall trend. When describing the trend (A5) candidates are required to use precise scientific language, general comments such as a 'positive correlation' do not merit the award of this criterion. Some centres teach uncertainties very well, however, in other centres few examples of percentage uncertainty in even one quantity were seen. Conclusions (A11) did not always match the findings or the aim: centres that do not provide candidates with a straightforward analytical title put their candidates at a disadvantage here.

Administrative matters

There are exemplar, guidance material, and relevant forms on the Edexcel websites but it was clear that not all centres had accessed the latest versions of these. Record sheets need to be signed by the candidate and teacher: this is an Ofqual Code of Practice requirement.

Moderators and examiners were very grateful to those centres that ensured that work for each candidate was written on one side of the page, clearly in three parts, held together by a long treasury tag, named, and with pages numbered. Some centres were still using plastic envelopes for candidate work: these are not secure, particularly if the sheets inside are not numbered and are in the wrong order.

The attention of all centres is drawn to the Ask the Expert and other coursework support including podcasts: details are on the Edexcel website.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:
<http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx>